[Note: Need to add suitable references to the undated letter from Mumford to Grothendieck and to the Bowdoin lectures, in the first footnote.]

DEGENERATION OF ALGEBRAIC THETA FUNCTIONS ¹

§1. 2-adic theta functions, values in a complete valued field ²

Problem:

Given K: complete algebraically closed valued field; integers \mathcal{O} , residue field $k = \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$, absolute value $|\cdot|: k^{\times} \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, char. $(k) \neq 2$.

Given V: 2g-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q}_2 , plus e, e_*, Λ .

²The notes come in two groups, reproduced as two sections. The first section contains the key results on the structure of 2-adic theta functions associated to abelian varieties over a local field. This structure theory is applied in § 2 to 2-adic monodromy of abelian varieties over local fields. Two pages of the original notes are essentially the same as the last section of the Bowdoin lecture notes [???]; they are not reproduced here.

³The notations and results in *Equations defining abelian varieties I, II, III*, abbreviated as [Eq I, II, III] in the footnotes, are used extensively in this set of notes. In particular

$$e: V \times V \longrightarrow \mu_{2^{\infty}}(K)$$

is a skew-symmetric bi-multiplicative non-degenerate pairing from $V \times V$ to the group of all roots of unity whose order is a power of 2, Λ is a maximal isotropic \mathcal{O} -lattice in V, and

$$e_* \colon \frac{1}{2}\Lambda/\Lambda \longrightarrow \{\pm\}$$

is a quadratic character such that

$$e_*(\alpha + \beta) e_*(\alpha) e_*(\beta) = e(\alpha, \beta)^2 \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \frac{1}{2} \Lambda.$$

¹This is a lightly edited version of a set of hand written notes by Mumford. It contains an essentially complete proof of the results in the undated letter [???] to Grothendieck, despite the following disclaimer in that letter: 'I say "think" because I haven't written down the details systematically. In fact one should get a rather complete "structure theorem" for these abelian varieties (I hope).' Mumford lectured on these results in the 1967 Summer School at Bowdoin, see paper [???] in this volume. Appendix II in the 1984 Ph. D. dissertation of C.-L. Chai, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 107, 1985, pp. 237–286 is an adulterated version of the same set of notes.

Given $\Theta: V \to K$, a theta function w.r.t. e, e_* , 4 coarse support $(\Theta) = V.5$

Analyze structure of θ

- (I) All values $\Theta(\alpha)$ are integrally dependent on $\{\Theta(\beta) \mid \beta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda\}$. Hence $\max |\Theta(\alpha)|$ exists and is taken on for some $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$. So multiply Θ by a constant s.t.
 - (a) $\Theta(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}$ for all $\alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$
 - (b) $\exists \alpha \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$ with $\Theta(\alpha) \notin \mathfrak{m}$, or equivalently $|\Theta(\alpha)| = 1$.
- : Get a non-zero theta function $\overline{\Theta}(\alpha) := [\Theta(\alpha) \mod \mathfrak{m}] \in k$.
- (II) Say coarse support($\overline{\Theta}$) = $W + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$, $W \subseteq V$ a $cusp.^7$
- (*) Choose a symplectic translation T of V s.t. $T(\Lambda) = \Lambda$, $e_* \equiv 1$ on $T(W^{\perp}) \cap \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$. Change Λ by this: Then 0 is an $origin^8$ for W. Later, will have to apply T in reverse to the structure Th. we get for Θ .

 \leadsto OK:

$$\overline{\Theta}(\alpha) = e_*(\eta/2) \, e(\eta/2, \alpha) \, \overline{\Theta}^*(\alpha_0^*)$$
 if $\alpha = \eta + \alpha_0, \ \eta \in \Lambda, \ \alpha_0 \in W$
$$\alpha_0^* = \text{image of } \alpha_0 \text{ in } W/W^{\perp}$$

$$\overline{\Theta}^* = k\text{-valued non-degen. theta fcn. on } W/W^{\perp}$$

- (theta transformation law) $\Theta(\alpha + \beta) = e_*(\beta/2) e(\beta/2, \alpha) \Theta(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in V, \forall \beta \in \Lambda.$
- (symmetry) $\Theta(-\alpha) = \Theta(\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in V.$
- (Riemann theta relation) For all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in V$ we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{4} \Theta(\alpha_i) = 2^{-g} \cdot \sum_{\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda/\Lambda} e(\gamma, \eta) \prod_{i=1}^{4} \Theta(\alpha_i + \gamma + \eta)$$

where $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)$.

See [Eq 3], p. 216.

⁵The coarse support of an algebraic theta function $\Theta: V \to K$ is the set of all for every $\alpha \in V$, there exists $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$ such that $\Theta(\alpha + \eta) \neq 0$.

⁶See Prop. 1 of Abstract theta functions, paper [???] in this volume.

⁷See Theorem on p. 230 of [Eq III] for this assertion. A cusp is a vector subspace $W \subseteq V$ such that $W^{\perp} \subseteq W$; see page 229 of loc. cit.

⁸An origin of a cusp W is an element $\eta_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$ such that $e_*(\eta_0) = 1$ and $e_*(\alpha) = e(\alpha, \eta_0)^2$ for all $\alpha \in W^{\perp} \cap \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$; see p. 229 of loc. cit.

⁴That $\Theta: V \longrightarrow K$ is a theta function for (V, Λ, e, e_*) means that it satisfies

Choose:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V & = & W_1 \oplus W_2 \\ \Lambda & = & \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda, & \Lambda_i = \Lambda \cap W_i \\ e_* & = & 1 & \text{on } \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_i \end{array} \right\} \text{ standard decomp. of } V$$

s.t.
$$(0) \subset W^{\perp} \subset W_1 \subset W \subset V$$
, so $W = W_1 \oplus \widetilde{W}_2$, $\widetilde{W}_2 \subset W_2$.

Given $V=W_1\oplus W_2$ and $W=W_1\oplus \widetilde{W}_2$ as above: \exists 1-1 correspondence between

- (a) \mathcal{O} -valued theta fcns. Θ on V s.t. coarse supp $(\overline{\Theta}) = W + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$.
- (b) \mathcal{O} -valued $Gaussian\ measures^9\ \mu\ on\ W_2\ s.t.\ \mathrm{supp}(\overline{\mu})=\widetilde{W}_2$

In $fact^{10}$

$$\mu(a_2 + 2^n \Lambda_2) = 2^{-ng} \sum_{a_1 \in 2^{-n} \Lambda_2 / \Lambda_2} e(a_2, a_1 / 2) \cdot \overline{\Theta}(a_1 + a_2) \qquad \forall a_2 \in W_2, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\overline{\Theta}(a_1 + a_2) = e(a_1, a_1 / 2) \int_{a_2 + \Lambda_2} e(a_1, \beta) \cdot d\mu(\beta) \qquad \forall a_1 \in W_1, \ \forall a_2 \in W_2$$

Esp.

$$\sup \left\{ |\mu(U)| : U \subset a_2 + \widetilde{W}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2, U \text{ compact open} \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ |\mu(a_2' + 2^n \Lambda_2)| : a_2' \in a_2 + \widetilde{W}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2, n \ge 0 \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ |\Theta(a_1 + a_2')| : a_1 \in W_1, a_2 \in \widetilde{W}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2 \right\}$$

$$= \sup \left\{ |\Theta(b)| : b \in a_2 + W + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda \right\}$$

(III) Show that $\forall \Theta$ or μ , and $\forall a_2$, this *sup* is a *max*. PROOF. Associate Φ to Θ s.t.

$$\Phi(\alpha) \Phi(\beta) = \sum_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1/\Lambda_1} e(\alpha, \zeta) \Theta(\alpha + \beta + \zeta) \Theta(\alpha - \beta + \zeta)$$

$$2^{g} \Theta(2\alpha) \Theta(2\beta) = \sum_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{2}/\Lambda_{2}} e(\alpha, \zeta)^{2} \Phi(\alpha + \beta + \zeta) \Phi(\alpha - \beta + \zeta)$$

⁹An k-valued even measure on W_2 is a Gaussian measure if there exists a k-valued measure ν on W_2 such that $(\mu \times \mu)(U) = (\nu \times \nu)(\xi(U))$ for all compact open subsets U in $W_2 \times W_2$, where $\xi \colon W_2 \times W_2 \longrightarrow W_2 \times W_2$ is defined by $\xi(x,y) = (x+y,x-y)$; see page 118 of [Eq II].

$$\begin{split} \therefore |\Phi(\alpha)| \cdot |\Phi(\beta)| &\leq \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1} |\Theta(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)| \cdot |\Theta(\alpha - \beta + \zeta)| \\ |\Theta(\alpha + \beta) \cdot |\Theta(\alpha - \beta)| &\leq \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)| \cdot |\Phi(\beta + \zeta)| \\ \therefore \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1} |\Theta(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)| \cdot |\Theta(\alpha - \beta + \zeta)| &= \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)| \cdot |\Phi(\beta + \zeta)| \end{split}$$

So

$$\max_{\zeta \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1} |\Theta(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)| \cdot \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1} |\Theta(\alpha + \beta + \zeta)| = \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2 + \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_1} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)| \cdot |\Phi(\beta + \zeta)|$$

Now assume
$$\beta \in W$$
. Use $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall x \in W + \Lambda_2 \\ \exists \eta \in \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_1 \ s.t. \ |\Phi(x+\eta)| = 1 \end{array} \right.$

Let
$$\tau(\gamma) = \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_1} |\Theta(\gamma + \zeta)|$$

$$\therefore \tau(\alpha+\beta) \tau(\alpha-\beta) = \max_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2 + \frac{1}{8}\Lambda_1, \ \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \in \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_1} |\Phi(\alpha+\zeta_1)| \cdot |\Phi(\beta+\zeta_2)|$$

Def. $\alpha \in V$ is normal if $\max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{8}\Lambda_1} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)| = \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2 + \frac{1}{8}\Lambda_1} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)|$.

$$[\forall \alpha \exists \eta \in \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_2 \text{ s.t. } \alpha + \eta \text{ is normal }]$$

So if α normal, $\beta \in W$, then

$$\tau(\alpha + \beta) \tau(\alpha = \beta) = x \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)| =: \rho(\alpha)$$

Esp.

$$\tau(\alpha + \beta) \tau(\alpha - \beta) = \tau(\alpha)^{2}$$
.

Note: If $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2$, $\alpha + \eta$ normal, then $\tau(\alpha + \eta) \geq \tau(\alpha)$.

Proof of Note.

$$\tau(\alpha)^{2} = \max_{\substack{\zeta_{1},\zeta_{2} \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{2} + \frac{1}{8}\Lambda_{1}, \ \zeta_{1} + \zeta_{2} \in \frac{1}{4}\Lambda_{1} \\ \leq \max_{\zeta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{2} + \frac{1}{8}\Lambda_{1}} |\Phi(\alpha + \zeta)| = \rho(\alpha)}$$

 $\alpha + \eta$ normal $\implies \tau(\alpha + \eta)^2 = \rho(\alpha + \eta) \ge \tau(\alpha)^2$. Q.E.D.

Now suppose $\alpha_n \in a + W + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$ s.t.

$$|\Theta(\alpha_n)| \longrightarrow \sup \left\{ |\Theta(\beta)| : \beta \in a + W + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda \right\} =: s.$$

W.l.o.g. can assume $|\Theta(\alpha_n)| = \tau(\alpha_n)$ & α normal (in view of Note above). OK: Pass to subsequence s.t.

$$\alpha_n - \alpha_m \in W + \Lambda \quad (\text{all } n, m).$$

W.l.o.g. may assume $\alpha_n - \alpha_m \in W$ for all n, m. Now if

$$\tau(\alpha_n) = |\Theta(\alpha_n)| > \sqrt{s \cdot |\Theta(\alpha_1)|} = \text{geom. mean of } s, |\Theta(\alpha_1)|,$$

then

$$s \cdot \tau(\alpha_1) < \tau(\alpha_n)^2 = \tau(\alpha_n + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_n)) \cdot \tau(\alpha_n - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_n)) < \tau(\alpha_1) \cdot s,$$

contradiction. $\therefore s = \tau(\alpha_n)$ for all n. Step (III.) is proved.

We conclude

Proposition 1. \forall \mathcal{O} -valued Gaussian measure μ on W_2 , let $\widetilde{W}_2 = \operatorname{supp}(\overline{\mu})$. Then \forall compact open subgroup $\Lambda'_2 \subset V_2$ and $\forall a \in W_2$,

$$\sup \left\{ |\mu(U)| \colon U \subseteq \widetilde{W}_2 + \Lambda_2' + a \right\}$$

is attained.¹¹

- (IV) Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{V} be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{Q}_2 , W be a vector subspace of \mathcal{V} , and let $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{V}$ be a compact open subgroup. Let μ be a Gaussian measure on \mathcal{V} with values in \mathcal{O} . Let ν be the dual Gaussian measure of μ , i.e. $\xi_*(\mu \times \mu) = \nu$. Assume that
 - (1) $\overline{\mu}, \overline{\nu}$ have support $W \subset \mathcal{V}$.
 - $(2) \ \forall w \in W$

$$\max \{ |\mu(V)| \colon V \subset w + \Lambda + W \} =: \sigma(w)$$
$$\max \{ |\nu(V)| \colon V \subset w + \Lambda + W \} =: \tau(w)$$

exist.

If $w \in \mathcal{V}$, $c \in \mathcal{O}$, and $|c| = \sigma(w) = \max_{\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda} (\sigma(w + \eta))$, then

$$\operatorname{supp}\left\{\left. \frac{\overline{\mu}}{c} \right|_{w+\Lambda+W} \right\} = w + \eta_0 + W$$

for some $\eta_0 \in \Lambda$.

¹¹Prop. 1 has been proved for $\Lambda' = \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2$. Apply an automorphism A of V_2 such that $A(\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2) \subset \Lambda'_2$ ¹²The general notation for §1 is suspended in Step (IV). In application the triple $(\mathcal{V}, \Lambda, W)$ in this theorem will be $(W_2, \Lambda_2, \widetilde{W})$. Also the meaning of τ is different from that in the proof of Step (III).

¹³As before, $\xi \colon \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}, \quad \xi \colon (x,y) \mapsto (x+y,x-y).$

PROOF. Claim 1: $\tau(w)^2 = \sigma(w)$.

(1)
$$\exists U \subset w + \Lambda + W \text{ s.t. } |\mu(U)| = \sigma(W).^{14}$$

$$\therefore |\mu \times \mu(U \times \Lambda)| = \sigma(w), \qquad \therefore |\nu \times \nu(\xi(U \times \Lambda))| = \sigma(w).$$

But
$$\xi(U \times \Lambda) \subset (w + \Lambda + W) \times (w + \Lambda + W)$$
,

$$\therefore \exists U_1, U_2 \subset w + \Lambda + W \quad \text{s.t. } |\nu(U_1)| \cdot |\nu(U_2)| = |\nu \times \nu(U_1 \times U_2)| = \sigma(w)$$

$$\therefore \tau(w) \ge \max\{|\nu(U_1)|, |\nu(U_2)|\} \ge \sqrt{\sigma(w)}$$

(2) $\exists U \subset w + \Lambda + W \text{ s.t. } |\nu(U)| = \tau(W).$

$$\therefore |\nu \times \nu(U \times U)| = \tau(w)^2$$

But

$$(w + \Lambda + W) \times (w + \Lambda + W) = \bigcup_{\text{disjoint}} \xi \left((w + \Lambda + W + \eta) \times (\Lambda + W + \eta) \right) \qquad \eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$$

$$\therefore \exists \eta, U_1 \subset w + \Lambda + W + \eta, \exists U_2 \in \Lambda + W + \eta \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\tau(w)^{2} = |\nu \times \nu(\xi(U_{1} \times U_{2}))| = |\mu(U_{1})| \cdot |\mu(U_{2})|$$

$$\leq |\mu(U_{1})| \leq \sigma(w + \eta) \leq \sigma(w).$$

We have proved Claim 1.

Look at measures

$$\frac{\overline{\mu}}{c}\Big|_{w+\Lambda+W} =: \mu_w, \qquad \overline{\frac{\nu}{\sqrt{c}}\Big|_{w+\Lambda+W}} =: \nu_w.$$

Claim 2. (a) $\xi_*(\mu_w \times \overline{\mu}) = (\nu_w \times \nu_w)|_{\xi((w+\Lambda+W)\times(\Lambda+W))}$.

(b) The restriction of the measure $\nu \times \nu$ to

$$(w + \Lambda + W) \times (w + \Lambda + W) - \xi((w + \Lambda + W) \times (\Lambda + W))$$

has absolute values strictly less than $\sigma(w)$.

(c)
$$\xi_*(\mu_w \times \overline{\mu}) = \nu_w \times \nu_w$$
 as measures on $(w + \Lambda + W) \times (w + \Lambda + W)$.

¹⁴Here U is compact open; the same for the U_1 , U_2 and U below.

Clearly (a) holds, and (b) implies (c). To see (b), suppose that $U_1 \subset w + \Lambda + W + \eta$, $U_2 \subset \Lambda + W + \eta$, U_1, U_2 compact open, $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$, $\eta \notin \Lambda + W$. Then

$$|\nu \times \nu(\xi(U_1 \times U_2))| = |\mu(U_1)| \cdot |\mu(U_2)|$$

$$\leq \sigma(w + \eta) \cdot \sigma(\eta)$$

$$< \sigma(w + \eta) \quad (\because \eta \notin \Lambda + W)$$

$$\leq \sigma(w) \quad (\because \text{ assumption on } w)$$

Claim (b) is proved.¹⁵

Theorem 2 is a formal consequence of (c): Let $S := \text{supp}(\mu_w), T := \text{supp}(\nu_w)$. So

$$T \times T = \xi(S \times W) = T \times T = \{ (a + u, a - u) \mid a \in S, u \in W \}$$

because $\xi_*(\mu_w \times \mu_w) = \nu_w \times \nu_w$. Start with $a \in S$, $u \in W$. Then $a \pm u \in T$, so $(a+u, a+u) \in T \times T$. and $a+u \in S$ too because $\xi^{-1}(a+u, a+u) = (a+u, 0)$. We have shown that $a+W \subset S$ for all $a \in S$. If $b \in S$ also, then $b \pm u \in T$ as before, and $(a+u, b+u) \in T \times T$.

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2}(a-b) \in W \quad \because \xi^{-1}(a+u,b+u) \in S \times W.$$

So $a - b \in W$ for all $a, b \in S$. Step (IV) is proved.

- (V) We reformulate what have been proved so far, and what is expected. Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{Q}_2 , $W \subset \mathcal{V}$ a vector subspace, $\pi \colon \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}/W$, dim $\mathcal{V} = g$, dim W = g r. Let μ be an \mathcal{O} -valued Gaussian measure on \mathcal{V} s.t. supp $(\overline{\mu}) = W$. We have proved:
 - (1) For all compact open subset $U \subset \mathcal{V}/W$,

$$\sup \{ |\mu(U')| \colon U' \subset \pi^{-1}(U), \ U' \text{ compact open } \}$$

is reached by some compact open subset U'.

(2) For all compact open $U \subset \mathcal{V}/W$, let

$$\sigma_U = \max\{ |\mu(U')| \colon U' \subset \pi^{-1}(U), U' \text{ compact open } \},$$

let $c_U \in K$ be s.t. $|c_U| = \sigma_U$, and let

$$\mu_U = \left[\frac{\mu}{c_U} \Big|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} \right].$$

Then $supp(\mu_U)$ is a finite union of cosets of W.

¹⁵Because each compact open subset of $(w + \Lambda + W) \times (w + \Lambda + W) - \xi((x + \Lambda + W) \times (\Lambda + W))$ is a finite disjoint union of subsets of the form $\xi(U_1 \times U_2)$ satisfying the above conditions.

Expectation 3:
$$\exists S \subset \mathcal{V}/W$$
, $S \hookrightarrow V/W$ $\cong \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]^h \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2^h$

and \exists a function¹⁶ $\sigma: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form $\sigma(x) = e^{-Q(x,x)}$, Q a pos. def. quad. form on S, s.t. $\forall U \subset \mathcal{V}/W$ compact open, let $\sigma_U = \max_{x \in U \cap S} \sigma(x)$, and let $c_U \in K$ be s.t. $|c_U| = \sigma_U$. Then¹⁷

- (a) $|\mu(U')| \leq \sigma_U$ for all compact open $U' \subset \pi^{-1}(U)$.
- (b) $\mu_U = \overline{\left[\frac{\mu}{c_U}\Big|_{\pi^{-1}(U)}\right]}$ is a k-valued measure whose support is exactly

$$\bigcup_{y \in S \cap U, \, \sigma(y) = \sigma_U} \pi^{-1}(y) \,.$$

Def. The singular set $S = S(\mu)$ of μ is defined by

$$S = S(\mu) := \{ x \in \mathcal{V}/W : \exists \text{ open neigh. } U \ni x \in \mathcal{V}/W \text{ s.t. } \operatorname{supp}(\mu_U) = \pi^{-1}(x) \}$$

Def. Define $\sigma: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\sigma(x) = \max \left\{ |\mu(U')| \colon U' \subset \pi^{-1}(U) \right\}$$

for any $x \in S$, where U is an open neighborhood of x in V/W s.t. $supp(\mu_U) = \pi^{-1}(x)$. This definition is independent of the choice of U.

It remains to show that

$$S \hookrightarrow V/W$$

$$\cong \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong$$

$$\mathbb{Z}[1/2]^r \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2^r$$

and

$$\sigma(x) = e^{-Q(x)}$$
, Q pos. def.

PROOF OF EXPECTATION 3. Let ν be the \mathcal{O} -valued Gaussian measure dual to μ , i.e. $\xi_*(\mu \times \mu) = \nu \times \nu$. Let $T = S(\nu) \subset \mathcal{V}/W$ be the singular set of ν , and let $\tau = \sigma(\nu) : T \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the sup. map for ν . Then

$$\xi(S \times S) = T \times T$$

and

$$\sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y) = \gamma(x+y) \cdot \gamma(x-y)$$
 for all $x, y \in S$.

From these we deduce

¹⁶The function σ on $S \subset V/W$ here is different from the function σ on W in Theorem 2.

¹⁷In terms of the function $\sigma: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ here, for $w \in \mathcal{V}$, the positive number $\sigma(w)$ in Theorem 1 is equal to $\max_{x \in S \cap \pi(w + \Lambda + W)} \sigma(x)$.

- (a) S is a subgroup of V/W and 2S = S.
- (b) $Q := -\log \sigma$ is a quadratic form from S to non-negative real numbers.¹⁸

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathcal{V}/W$ be a neighborhood of 0, and let $S_0 := \Lambda \cap S$, a subgroup of S s.t.

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n} S_0 = S.$$

(c) Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S_0$ be a \mathbb{Z} -linearly independent subset in S_0 . Look at the maximal H s.t. \exists

$$\mathbb{Z}^n \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n \qquad \phi((a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum a_i x_i$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

Let Q' be the quadratic form on \mathbb{Q}^n s.t.

$$Q'(a,a) := -\log \sigma(\phi(a_1,\ldots,a_n)) \qquad \text{for } a = (a_1,\ldots,a_n) \in H$$

Note that

$$Q$$
 is a pos. semi-definite quad. form on \mathbb{Q}^n $Q(a)=0, \ a\in\mathbb{Q}^n \implies a=0$

(c₁) $[H:\mathbb{Z}^n]<\infty$.

If not, $\exists \mathbb{Q}$ -vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ s.t. $H \cap L$ is dense in L in classical topology. But $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ \phi(a) \in S_0$,

$$\therefore$$
 in $\phi(a) + 2^m \Lambda$, $\sigma(\phi(a)) \ge \sigma(b)$ for all $b \in \phi(b) + 2^m \Lambda$

if m is large enough. Thus

$$Q'(a, a) \le Q'(b, b)$$
 for all $b \in a + 2^m H$.

Take $a \in L \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $b \in (a+2^mH) \cap L$ in particular: then the possible b's are dense in L. So there are some b's for which $Q'(b,b) < \text{any given } \epsilon$, and get a contradiction.

Corollary. H is a finitely generated abelian group: w.l.o.g. $H = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

 (c_2) Q' is positive definite.

If not, get

$$\mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} \mathbb{R}^m \qquad (m < n)$$

$$H$$

¹⁸For $s \in S$, we have $\sigma(s) = 1 \Leftrightarrow s \in W = \text{supp}(\overline{\mu})$.

and a quadratic form Q'' on \mathbb{R}^m s.t. $Q'(a) = Q''(\pi(a))$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\pi(H) \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is *not* discrete. i.e. $\exists \mathbb{R}$ -vector subspace $L \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ s.t. $\pi(H) \cap L$ is dense in L. Get the same contradiction as above.

(d) S_0 is a free abelian group of rank $r = \dim(V/W)$.

PROOF. Define $r := \dim(V/W), d := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(S_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$ Then

d finite $\Leftrightarrow S_0$ fin. gen. by (c_1) and (c_2) .

If d < r, then S_0 is too small to be dense¹⁹ in Λ , OUT. If d > r, well

$$S_0/2S_0 \subset \Lambda/2\Lambda \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$$
.

Q.E.D.

$$\therefore S \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[1/2]^r$$

$$\int_{S_0} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}^r$$

and $\sigma = e^{-Q(a,a)}$, Q pos. def. quad. form on \mathbb{R}^r . Expectation 3 is proved.

(VI) Theorem. 4 (1) Every Gaussian measure μ on \mathcal{V} (as above) can be written as

$$\mu = \sum_{x \in S} \mu_x$$

where each $\mu_x^{\ 20}$ is an \mathcal{O} -valued measure on \mathcal{V} with

$$\sup\{(\mu_x) = \pi^{-1}(x) & \forall x \in S \\ \sup\{(\mu_x(U) : U \subset \mathcal{V} \text{ compact open}\} & \forall x \in S \end{cases}$$

Similarly, the dual measure ν can be written as

$$\nu = \sum_{x \in S} \nu_x$$

with similar properties as above. Moreover

$$\xi_*(\mu_x \times \mu_y) = \nu_{x+y} \times \nu_{x-y} \qquad \forall x, y \in S.$$

 $^{^{19}}S$ is dense in V/W because $\text{supp}(\mu) = V$.

²⁰ For $x \in S$, the measure μ_x is the push-forward to \mathcal{V} of a measure μ_x' on $\pi^{-1}(x)$, defined as follows. For any compact open subset U' of $\pi^{-1}(x)$, let $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a decreasing family of compact open subsets of \mathcal{V} such that $\bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} U_i = U'$. Then $\mu_x'(U') = \lim_{i\to\infty} \mu(U_i)$.

(2) Correspondingly: if (0) $\subset W^{\perp} \subset W \subset V$ is the set-up for $\pi: V \twoheadrightarrow V/W \subset S$, and Θ is an \mathcal{O} -valued theta function w.r.t. e, e_*, Λ as in the beginning of §1. Then

$$\Theta(\alpha) = \sum_{x \in S} \, \Theta_x(\alpha) \,,$$

where each Θ_x^{22} is a function on V such that

- (a) $\Theta_x(\alpha + \beta) = e_*(\beta/2) e(\beta/2, \alpha) \Theta_x(\alpha) \quad \forall \beta \in \Lambda,$
- (b) supp $(\Theta_x) \subset \pi^{-1}(x) + \Lambda$,
- (c) $\Theta_x(\alpha + \beta) = e(\beta, \gamma_x \alpha/2) \Theta_x(\alpha)$ $\forall \beta \in W^{\perp} \text{ if } \gamma_x \in V \text{ satisfies } \pi(\gamma_x) = x.$

Defining an associated tower of toroidal groups

 Θ on V gives

$$\begin{cases} (0) \subset W^{\perp} \subset W \subset V, & \pi \colon V \to (V/W) \quad (\text{we assume } e_*(\alpha/2) = 1, \forall \alpha \in W^{\perp} \cap (1/2)\Lambda) \\ S \subset V/W \\ \Theta_x \text{ on } \pi^{-1}(x) + \Lambda \end{cases}$$

(1) Θ_0 on W/W^{\perp} defines a tower of abelian varieties

 B_{α} indexed by compact open subsets $U_{\alpha} \subset W/W^{\perp}$

- (2) If $U \subset V$ is a compact open subgroup, get
 - (a) $U_{\alpha} = (U \cap W)/(U \cap W^{\perp})$, hence B_{α} .
 - (b) $\pi(U) \cap S = S_0$, a lattice in S.

$$\forall x \in S_0$$
, choose $\gamma_x \in U \cap \pi^{-1}(x)$. Set

$$\begin{split} \Phi_x(\alpha) &= e(\gamma_x/2,\alpha) \cdot \Theta_x(\alpha + \gamma_x) \,, & \alpha \in W \,, \\ \text{a function on } W/W^\perp \text{ "related to" } \Theta_0 \,. \end{split}$$

 \therefore Φ_x defines a point $P_{\alpha}(x) \in B_{\alpha}$.

[If $\gamma'_x = \gamma_x + \eta$, $\eta \in U + W$, then $\phi'_x(\alpha) = \text{const. } e(\eta/2, \alpha) \phi_x(\alpha + \eta)$, so $P_\alpha(x)$ doesn't change.]

$$\mu_x(a_2 + 2^n \Lambda_2) = 2^{ng} \sum_{a_1 \in 2^n \Lambda_1/\Lambda_1} e(a_2, a_1/2) \Theta_x(a_1 + a_2).$$

$$\Theta_x(a_1 + a_2) = e(a_2, a_1/2) \int_{a_2 + \Lambda_2} e(a_1, \beta) \cdot d\mu_x(\beta) \qquad a_1 \in V_1, a_2 \in V_2.$$

²¹The quotient V/W here corresponds to the quotient V/W in (1).

²²The functions Θ_x is related to the measures μ_x as in (II):

Get a homomorphism
$$S_0 \xrightarrow{P_\alpha} B_\alpha$$

 $x \longmapsto P_\alpha(x)$

(c)
$$G_{\alpha} = \mathbf{Spec}_{B_{\alpha}} \left(\bigoplus_{x \in S_0} \left\{ T_{P_{\alpha}(x)}^* L_{\alpha} \otimes L_{\alpha}^{-1} \right\} \right)$$

A class of rigid analytic maps

Given: $K = \text{complete valued field}, C = \widehat{\overline{K}},$

Given: G, a comm. alg. grp. over K of type

$$G \\ \mathbb{G}_m^r \bigg| \pi \\ A \qquad \text{abel. var.,}$$

L, ample inv. sheaf on A, all rational over K.

Now

$$G \cong \mathbf{Spec}_A \left\{ \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^r} \left(K_1^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_r^{n_r} \right) \right\}$$

where K_1, \ldots, K_r are invertible sheaves on A, alg. equiv. to \mathcal{O}_A .

To define a rigid analytic map $\phi \colon G_C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m_C$, need m+1 analytic sections of $\pi^*(L)$ over G_C .

$$\longrightarrow$$
 m+1 Laurent-type expressions $L_i = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^r} s(n,i)$, $0 \le i \le m$
 $s(n,i) \in \Gamma(A, L \otimes K_1^{n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_r^{n_r})$

Convergence: $\forall x \in G_C$, get $\pi(x) = y \in A_C$, plus $K_i(y) \xrightarrow{\sim} C$ for i = 0, 1, ... m. Then evaluate:

$$s(n,i) \longmapsto \operatorname{Val}_x[s(n,i)] \in L(y)$$

Ask that

$$\sum_{n} \operatorname{Val}_{x}[s(n,i)] \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{exists in } L(y) \text{ for all } i \\ \text{\& not be 0 for all } i \end{array} \right..$$

Hence ϕ comes out.

§2. Application to monodromy: method of theta functions

Given

- (a) an abelian variety X over $K \longrightarrow \text{get } T_2(X)$, a module over $\mathbb{Z}_2[\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)]$,
- (b) a principal polarization on X plus an even symmetric theta-divisor D_{θ} representing it \longrightarrow get a theta function $\Theta \colon V_2(X) \to \overline{K}$ s.t. $\Theta(\sigma x) = \Theta(x)^{\sigma} \ \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$.

[State converse: all such $(V, \Lambda, e, e_*, \Theta)$ come from (X, D_θ) .]

Problem is:

if K = local field, alg. cl. res. field k, $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$,

& if $\Gamma := \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ acts on $T_2(X)$ via its tamely ramified quotient Γ_{tame} .²³,

show that

 \exists an open subgroup $U \subset \Gamma_{\text{tame}}$ s.t. γ operates unipotently on $T_2(X) \ \forall \gamma \in U$

Method: a complete description to the solutions of the theta functional equations over a local field. viz. \exists

- (i) $(0) \subset W^{\perp} \subset W \subset V$ subspaces, $\pi: V \to V/W$
- (ii) $S \longrightarrow V/W$ $\cong \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]^r \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_2^r$
- (iii) $Q: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ pos. def. quad. form

s.t.

$$\Theta = \sum_{s \in S} \, \Theta_x$$

- (a) $supp(\Theta_x) \subset \pi^{-1}(x) + \Lambda$.
- (b) $\max_{y} |\Theta_{x}(y)| = e^{-Q(x,x)}$.
- (c) $\Theta_x(\alpha + \beta) = e_*(\beta/2) \cdot e(\beta/2, \alpha) \cdot \Theta_x(\alpha)$ for all $\beta \in \Lambda$.
- (d) $\Theta_x(\alpha + \beta) = e(\beta, \gamma_x \frac{\alpha}{2}) \cdot \Theta_x(\alpha)$ for all $\beta \in W^{\perp}$ if $\gamma_x \in \pi^{-1}(x)$.

 $^{^{23}\}Gamma_{\text{tame}} \cong \prod_{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}(1)$, where ℓ runs through all prime numbers which are invertible in k.

Claim: It follows that

$$\gamma = \text{id. on } W \text{ and on } V/W^{\perp} \qquad \forall \gamma \in U,$$

i.e. the matrix representation of γ has the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I & 0 & * \\
0 & I & 0 \\
0 & 0 & I
\end{array}\right)$$

This Claim will be proved in two steps below.

Step 1. Assume that $\Theta: V \to k$ is an algebraic theta function for $(V, \Lambda, e, e_*), \sigma \in \operatorname{Sp}(V, \Lambda)$ such that

- $\forall x \in V, \exists \eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda \text{ s.t. } \Theta(x+\eta) \neq 0,$
- $\Theta(\sigma x) = \Theta(x)$ for all $x \in V$

Then σ is of finite order.

PROOF OF STEP 1. Replace σ by a suitable tower so that $(\sigma - 1)\Lambda \subseteq 4\Lambda$. We will show that²⁴ for any $n \geq 2$,

$$(\sigma - 1)\Lambda \subseteq 2^n\Lambda \implies (\sigma - 1)\Lambda \subseteq 2^{2n-1}\Lambda$$

For any $x \in 2^{-n}\Lambda$, we have

$$\Theta(x) = \Theta(\sigma x) = \Theta(x + (\sigma x - x)) = e_*(\frac{\sigma x - x}{2}) \cdot e(\frac{\sigma x - x}{2}, x) \cdot \Theta(x)$$

 $\therefore e(\sigma x - x, x) = 1$ if $\Theta(x) \neq 0$. Pick an $\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$ such that $\Theta(x + \eta) \neq 0$. Then

$$1 = e((\sigma - 1)(x + \eta), (x + \eta)) = e((\sigma - 1)x, x) \cdot e((\sigma - 1)\eta, x) \cdot e((\sigma - 1)x, \eta) \cdot e((\sigma - 1)\eta, \eta)).$$

The last term is 1 because $(\sigma - 1)\eta \in 2^{n-1}\Lambda \subset 2\Lambda$. The product of the two middle terms is

$$e((\sigma - 1)\eta, x) \cdot e((\sigma - 1)x, \eta) = e(\sigma\eta, x) \cdot e(x, \sigma^{-1}\eta) = e((\sigma^{2} - 1)\eta, \sigma x)$$

= $e((\sigma - 1)^{2}\eta + 2(\sigma - 1)\eta, \sigma x) = 1$

because $(\sigma - 1)^2 \eta \in 2^{2n-1} \Lambda \subseteq 2^n \Lambda$ and $2(\sigma - 1) \eta \in 2^n \Lambda$. So

$$Q(x) := e((\sigma - 1)x, x) = 1 \qquad \forall x \in 2^{-n}\Lambda.$$

Now we have

$$1 = \frac{Q(x+y)}{Q(x) \cdot Q(y)} = e((\sigma - 1)x, y) \cdot e((\sigma - 1)y, x) = e(x, \sigma^{-1}y) \cdot e(\sigma y, x) = e(x, \sigma^{-1}y - \sigma y)$$

for all $x, y \in 2^{-n}\Lambda$, therefore $\sigma^{-1}y - \sigma y \in 2^{n}\Lambda$ for all $y \in 2^{-n}\Lambda$. Write $\sigma = 1 + \tau$, we have

$$2^{n}\Lambda \,\ni\, \sigma^{2}y-y=2\tau y+\tau^{2}y \qquad \forall y\in 2^{-n}\Lambda.$$

But $\tau^2 y \in 2^n \Lambda$, therefore $\tau y \in 2^{n-1} \Lambda$ for all $y \in 2^{-n} \Lambda$, i.e. $(\sigma - 1) \Lambda \subseteq 2^{2n-1} \Lambda$. Q.E.D.

²⁴This statement was formulated for n=2 in the original notes.

We go back to the algebraic theta function Θ for $(V = V_2(X), \Lambda = T_2(X), e, e_*)$ attached to (X, D_{θ}) . Let $W \subset V$ be the associated cusp, $W^{\perp} \subset W$. An element $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\text{tame}}$ operates on V via an element of $\text{Sp}(V, \Lambda)$ s.t. $\gamma(W) \subseteq W$, $\gamma(W^{\perp}) \subseteq W^{\perp}$. By Step 1, there exists an open subgroup $U \subset \Gamma_{\text{tame}}$ such that every $\gamma \in U$ has a matrix representation of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
^t A^{-1} & B & * \\
0 & I & C \\
0 & 0 & A
\end{array}\right)$$

i.e. γ operates on W/W^{\perp} as the identity.

Step 2.
$$A = I, B = 0, \text{ i.e. } \gamma|_{W} = \text{id}_{W}.$$

We need the following facts for the proof of Step 2; they are consequences of the results in §1, summarized at the beginning of this section.

Fact (a). $\sigma(x) := \sup_{\eta \in \frac{1}{2}\Lambda} |\Theta(x+\eta)|$ depends only on the image of x in $V/(W+\frac{1}{2}\Lambda)$.

Fact (b). $\forall x \in V, \exists \xi_x \in V,$ depending only on the image of $x \in V/W$, s.t.

$$|\Theta(x+u) - e(\xi_x, u) \Theta(x)| < \sigma(x) \quad \forall u \in W^{\perp}.$$

We know that $\Theta(\gamma x) = \Theta(x)^{\gamma} \quad \forall x \in V$, $\gamma(\Lambda) \subseteq \Lambda$ and $(\gamma - 1)W \subseteq W^{\perp}$. Replacing U by an open subgroup, we may assume²⁵ that $U \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and

$$(\sigma - 1)(\Lambda) \subseteq 8\Lambda + W^{\perp}, \quad (\sigma - 1)(\Lambda \cap W) \subseteq 8\Lambda$$

i.e. P(3) holds, where P(n) stands for the statement

$$(\sigma - 1)(\Lambda) \subseteq 2^n \Lambda + W^{\perp}$$
 and $(\sigma - 1)(\Lambda \cap W) \subseteq 2^n \Lambda \quad \forall \gamma \in U$.

It is clear that Step 2 follows from Claim 2 below.

Claim 2. Suppose P(n) holds, $n \ge 3$, then P(2n-1) holds.²⁶

The first part of P(2n-1) implies that if $x \in \Lambda \cap W$, $\xi = 2^{-2n+1}\lambda + w$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $w \in W$, then $\gamma^{-1}\xi \in \Lambda + W^{\perp}$, so

$$e(\gamma x, \xi) = e(x, \gamma^{-1}\xi) = 1.$$

i.e. the second part of P(2n-1) follows from the first part.

²⁵Because $Sp(V, \Lambda)$ is an extension of a finite group by a pro-2 group.

²⁶Claim 2 was formulated for n=3 in the original notes.

Let $x \in 2^{-n}\Lambda$, $n \ge 3$. Changing x by an element of $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda$, we may assume that $|\Theta(x)| = \sigma(x)$. Write $(\gamma - 1)x = \eta + u$, $\eta \in \Lambda$, $u \in W^{\perp}$. Then

$$\Theta(x)^{\gamma} = \Theta(\gamma x) = \Theta(x + \eta + u) = e_*(\eta/2) e(\eta/2, x + u) e(\xi_x, u) \Theta(x).$$

Change x to x' with $w := x' - x \in 2^{-n}\Lambda \cap W$. Then $|\Theta(x')| = \sigma(x') = \sigma(x)$ too by Facts (a), (b) above. We know²⁷ that

$$\frac{\Theta(x')^{\gamma}}{\Theta(x')} = \frac{\Theta(x)^{\gamma}}{\Theta(x)}.$$

$$\therefore e_*(\eta/2) e(\eta/2, x+u) e(\xi_x, u) = e_*(\eta/2) e(\eta'/2, x'+u) e(\xi_x, u)$$

$$\therefore e(\eta, x + u) = e(\eta', x' + u).$$

We have $(\gamma - 1)x = \eta + u$, $(\gamma - 1)x' = \eta' + u$, $\eta' - \eta = (\gamma - 1)w \in W^{\perp} \cap \Lambda$ by P(n).

$$\therefore e(\eta, x) = e(\eta', x') = e(\eta + (\gamma - 1)w, x + w)$$

$$\therefore 1 = e(\eta, w) e((\gamma - 1)w, x) = e(w, -\eta) e(w, (\gamma^{-1} - 1)x) = e(w, -\eta + (\gamma^{-1} - 1)x)$$

for all $w \in W \cap 2^{-n}\Lambda$.

$$\therefore -\eta + (\gamma^{-1} - 1)x \in 2^n \Lambda + W^{\perp}$$

$$\therefore \gamma \eta + (\gamma - 1)x \in 2^n \Lambda + W^{\perp}$$

$$\therefore \ \gamma \eta + \eta \in 2^n \Lambda + W^{\perp} \quad \because u \in W^{\perp}$$

Hence

$$2\eta \in 2^n \Lambda + W^{\perp}$$

by P(n), i.e.

$$\eta \in 2^{n-1}\Lambda + W^{\perp}$$
.

We have shown that $\forall y \in 2^{-n}\Lambda$, $\exists x \in y + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda$ s.t. $(\gamma - 1)x \in 2^{n-1}\Lambda + W^{\perp}$ for all $\gamma \in U$. So

$$(\gamma - 1)\Lambda \subset 2^{2n-1}\Lambda + W^{\perp}$$
.

Q.E.D.

²⁷ from the structure of tamely ramified extensions of local fields.