

Am165 HW9

9.34 9.37 9.44 9.51 9.55 9.72 9.74 9.79 9.81

9.34) $f(y|p) = p(1-p)^{y-1}$

$L(y_1, \dots, y_n|p) = p^n (1-p)^{\sum y_i - n} = p^n (1-p)^{n\bar{y} - n}$

let $g(\bar{y}, p) = p^n (1-p)^{n\bar{y} - n}$ and $h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 1$

then by Thm 9.4, \bar{y} is sufficient for p .

9.37) The likelihood is $L(y_1, \dots, y_n|\theta) = a(\theta)^n \prod b(y_i) e^{-c(\theta) \sum d(y_i)}$

let $g(\sum d(y_i), \theta) = a(\theta)^n e^{-c(\theta) \sum d(y_i)}$ and $h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod b(y_i)$

Then by Thm 9.4, $\sum_{i=1}^n d(y_i)$ is sufficient for θ .

9.44) Note that $f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n|\theta) = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{3}{\theta^3} y_i^2 / \theta^3 & \text{for } 0 \leq y_i \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Note also the condition that $0 \leq y_i \leq \theta$ for $i=1, \dots, n$ can be written as $0 \leq y_{(1)}$ and $y_{(n)} \leq \theta$ (if the minimum is greater than 0, then so must be the remainder of the y_i ; the same argument applies for the maximum being less than θ). The likelihood can then be written as

$L(y_1, \dots, y_n|\theta) = \frac{3^n}{\theta^{3n}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right) I(0 \leq y_{(1)}) I(y_{(n)} \leq \theta)$

Thus with $g(y_{(n)}, \theta) = \frac{3^n}{\theta^{3n}} I(y_{(n)} \leq \theta)$ and

$h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i \right) I(0 \leq y_{(1)})$, we can see that by the factorization theorem, $y_{(n)}$ is sufficient for θ .

9.51) with Y a poisson random variable with parameter λ , it is necessary to find the MVUE for

$$E(c) = 3E(Y^2) = 3(V(Y) + [E(Y)]^2) = 3(\lambda + \lambda^2)$$

In Exercise 9.31 it was determined that $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ is sufficient for λ and thus for λ^2 and $3(\lambda + \lambda^2)$.

If a function of $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ that is unbiased for $3(\lambda + \lambda^2)$ can be found, then this function will be MVUE. Note that as \bar{Y} is distributed as poisson($n\lambda$) we can easily calculate

$$E(\bar{Y}^2) = V(\bar{Y}) + [E(\bar{Y})]^2 = \frac{\lambda}{n} + \lambda^2$$

and $E(\frac{\bar{Y}}{n}) = \frac{1}{n} E(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$

Then we have $\lambda^2 = E(\bar{Y}^2) - E(\frac{\bar{Y}}{n})$

and $\lambda = E(\bar{Y})$.

$$E(c) = 3E[\bar{Y}^2 - (\frac{\bar{Y}}{n}) + \bar{Y}] \text{ and the MVUE is } 3[\bar{Y}^2 + \bar{Y}(1 - \frac{1}{n})].$$

9.55) a. First note that the distribution function corresponding

$$\text{to } f(y) \text{ is } F(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y > 0 \\ y^3/3 & \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ 0 & \text{for } y < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then the density of } Y_{(n)} \text{ is } f_{Y_{(n)}}(y) &= n f(y) (F(y))^{n-1} \\ &= \int_0^\theta n 3 \frac{y^2}{\theta^3} \left(\frac{y^3}{\theta^3}\right)^{n-1} = 3n y^{3n-1} / \theta^{3n} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ &0 \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

b. We know that the UMVUE will be based on $Y_{(n)}$ as it is a complete sufficient statistic. All we need to do is properly scale it so that it is unbiased.

Note

$$E(Y_{(n)}) = \int_0^{\infty} 3ny^{3n} / \theta^{3n} dy = \frac{3ny^{3n+1}}{(3n+1)\theta^{3n}} \Big|_0^{\infty} = \frac{3n}{3n+1}\theta$$

Thus, $\frac{3n+1}{3n} Y_{(n)}$ is unbiased for θ . It is then UMVUE as $Y_{(n)}$ is complete sufficient.

9.72) a. The likelihood function is

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum x_i} e^{-n\lambda}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}$$

and $\ln L = (\sum x_i) \ln \lambda - n\lambda - \sum \ln x_i!$

So that $(\frac{d}{d\lambda})(\ln L) = (\sum \frac{x_i}{\lambda}) - n$. Equating the derivative

to 0, we obtain $\frac{\sum x_i}{\hat{\lambda}} - n = 0$

$$\text{or } \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} = \bar{Y}.$$

b. Recalling that $E(Y_i) = \lambda$ and $V(Y_i) = \lambda$, we obtain

$$E(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i)}{n} = \lambda$$

and

$$V(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n V(Y_i)}{n^2} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

c. Since $E(Y_i) = \lambda$ and $V(Y_i) = \lambda < \infty$, the law of large numbers applies and we conclude that $\hat{\lambda}$ converges in probability to λ . Hence $\hat{\lambda}$ is consistent for λ .

d. The MLE of λ was found in part a. to be $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{Y}$. Then, the MLE for $e^{-\lambda}$ is $e^{-\bar{Y}}$.

9.74) ^{a.} The likelihood function is

$$L = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{r}{\theta} y_i^{r-1} e^{-y_i^r/\theta} = \frac{r^n}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{r-1} e^{-\sum y_i^r/\theta}$$

$$= g(u, \theta) h(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

where

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^r \quad g(u, \theta) = \frac{r^n}{\theta^n} e^{-u/\theta}, \quad h(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{r-1}$$

Hence $\sum Y_i^r$ is a sufficient statistic for θ .

b. Consider $\ln L = n \ln r - n \ln \theta + (r-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^r}{\theta}$

$$\text{and } \frac{d}{d\theta} \ln L = \frac{-n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum y_i^r}{\theta^2}$$

Equating the derivative to 0, the estimator is obtained.

$$\frac{-n}{\hat{\theta}} + \frac{\sum y_i^r}{\hat{\theta}^2} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -n\hat{\theta} + \sum y_i^r = 0$$

$$\text{or } \hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum Y_i^r}{n}.$$

c. The estimator $\hat{\theta}$ given in part b. is a function of the sufficient statistic. If it is unbiased, or could be adjusted to be unbiased, the MVUE of θ will be obtained.

Consider

$$E(Y_i^r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r}{\theta} y^{2r-1} e^{-y^r/\theta} dy$$

let $x = y^r$; $dx = r y^{r-1} dy$, so that $E(Y_i^r) = \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right) e^{-x/\theta} dx = E(X)$

where X ~~is~~ ^{has} a gamma distribution with $\alpha=1$, $\beta=\theta$

Then $E(Y_i^r) = \theta$ and

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i^r)}{n} = \theta$$

Since $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased for θ , it is the MVUE for θ .

9.79) Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be the proportions of voters in the population favoring candidates A, B, and C, respectively. Further, define the random variables n_1, n_2 and n_3 as the number of voters in a random sample of size n who favor candidates A, B, and C, respectively.

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 n_i = n$$

so that we may write $p_3 = 1 - p_1 - p_2$ and $n_3 = n - n_1 - n_2$, the random variables n_1, n_2, n_3 follow a multinomial probability distribution (see section 5.9 of the book), and the likelihood function is

$$L = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! n_3!} p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} (1-p_1-p_2)^{n_3}$$

So that $\ln L = \ln K + n_1 \ln p_1 + n_2 \ln p_2 + n_3 \ln(1-p_1-p_2)$
 Differentiating with respect to p_1 and p_2 , we have

$$\frac{d \ln L}{d p_1} = \frac{n_1}{p_1} - \frac{n_3}{1-p_1-p_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d \ln L}{d p_2} = \frac{n_2}{p_2} - \frac{n_3}{1-p_1-p_2}$$

Set these two equations equal to 0 and solve simultaneously for \hat{p}_1 and \hat{p}_2 .

$$(*) \quad n_1(1-\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2) - n_3 \hat{p}_1 = 0$$

$$n_2(1-\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2) - n_3 \hat{p}_2 = 0$$

Adding the two equations, we have

$$(n_1+n_2)(1-\hat{p}_1-\hat{p}_2) = (\hat{p}_1+\hat{p}_2)n_3 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{p}_1+\hat{p}_2 = \frac{n_1+n_2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{p}_1 = \frac{n_1}{n}, \quad \hat{p}_2 = \frac{n_2}{n} \quad \text{and thus} \quad \hat{p}_3 = \frac{n_3}{n}$$

for the data given, $\hat{p}_1 = 0.30$, $\hat{p}_2 = 0.38$, $\hat{p}_3 = 0.32$

9.81) $P(Y=y) = \binom{2}{y} p^y (1-p)^{2-y}$ our estimator \hat{p} must be either $1/4$ or $3/4$. we choose based on which has the larger likelihood value given the data, Y . It is important to remember in this problem that the likelihood is a function of the parameter p . Therefore we have three possible likelihood functions depending, one for each value of the data, Y .

$$L(0, p) = P(Y=0) = (1-p)^2 \text{ implying } \hat{p} = \frac{1}{4} \text{ as}$$

$$L(0, \frac{1}{4}) = (1-\frac{1}{4})^2 > (1-\frac{3}{4})^2 = L(0, \frac{3}{4})$$

$$L(1, p) = P(Y=1) = 2p(1-p) \text{ implying } \hat{p} \text{ can be either}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{ or } \frac{3}{4} \text{ as}$$

$$L(1, \frac{1}{4}) = 2 \times \frac{1}{4} (1-\frac{1}{4}) = 2 \times \frac{3}{4} (1-\frac{3}{4}) = L(1, \frac{3}{4})$$

$$L(2, p) = P(Y=2) = p^2 \text{ implying}$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{3}{4} \text{ as } (\frac{1}{4})^2 < (\frac{3}{4})^2$$

Notice the case when $Y=1$ is an instance where the maximum likelihood estimator is not a single unique value!

#