

- 8.2 a.  $E[\hat{\theta}_3] = E[a\hat{\theta}_1 + (1-a)\hat{\theta}_2] = aE[\hat{\theta}_1] + (1-a)E[\hat{\theta}_2] = a\theta + (1-a)\theta = \theta$   
 b. It is given that  $E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\hat{\theta}_2) = \theta$  and  $V(\hat{\theta}_1) = \sigma_1^2$ ,  $V(\hat{\theta}_2) = \sigma_2^2$ . Assuming that  $\hat{\theta}_1$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2$  are independent, the variance of the new estimator,  $\hat{\theta}_3$ , will be

$$V(\hat{\theta}_3) = V[a\hat{\theta}_1 + (1-a)\hat{\theta}_2] = a^2V(\hat{\theta}_1) + (1-a)^2V(\hat{\theta}_2) = a^2\sigma_1^2 + (1-a)^2\sigma_2^2$$

In order to choose a value of  $a$  such that  $V(\hat{\theta}_3)$  is minimized, look at

$$\frac{d}{da} V(\hat{\theta}_3) = 2a\sigma_1^2 - 2(1-a)\sigma_2^2.$$

Setting the derivative equal to 0, we obtain

$$a\sigma_1^2 - (1-a)\sigma_2^2 = 0$$

or

$$a = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$$

Notice that  $\frac{d^2}{da^2} V(\hat{\theta}_3) = 2\sigma_1^2 + 2\sigma_2^2 > 0$ , so that the value is in fact a minimum.

- 8.4 Recall that if  $Y_i$  is Exponential( $\theta$ ) then  $E(Y_i) = \theta$  and  $V(Y_i) = \theta^2$ . Hence we can use Theorem 5.12 to obtain

$$E(\hat{\theta}_1) = E(\hat{\theta}_2) = E(\hat{\theta}_3) = E(\hat{\theta}_5) = \theta$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_1) = \theta^2$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{4}(2\theta^2) = \frac{\theta^2}{2}$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_3) = \frac{1}{9}(\theta^2 + 4\theta^2) = \frac{5\theta^2}{9}$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}_5) = \frac{1}{9}(3\theta^2) = \frac{\theta^2}{3}$$

The distribution of  $\hat{\theta}_4$  can be obtained by using the methods of Section 6.6 in the text, with  $F(y) = 1 - e^{-y/\theta}$ . Then

$$g_1(y) = \frac{3}{\theta} e^{-y/\theta} (e^{-y/\theta})^2 = \frac{3}{\theta} e^{-3y/\theta}$$

which is an exponential distribution with mean  $\frac{\theta}{3}$ .

$$E(\hat{\theta}_4) = \frac{\theta}{3} \quad V(\hat{\theta}_4) = \frac{\theta^2}{9}$$

- a. The unbiased estimators are  $\hat{\theta}_1$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_2$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_3$ , and  $\hat{\theta}_5$ .  
 b. Among these four estimators,  $\hat{\theta}_5 = \bar{Y}$  has the smallest variance.

- 8.5 Since  $Y$  has an exponential distribution with mean  $\theta + 1$ ,  $E(Y) = \theta + 1$  and  $E(\bar{Y}) = \theta + 1$ . Hence if we use  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{Y} - 1$ ,  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$  and we have constructed an unbiased estimator.

- 8.8 a. For the uniform distribution given here,  $E(Y_i) = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $E(\bar{Y}) = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$  and the bias is  $B = E(\bar{Y}) - \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

- b. An unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  can be constructed by using  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}$ , which has

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta.$$

- c. If  $\bar{Y}$  is used as an estimator, then

$$V(\bar{Y}) = \frac{V(Y)}{n} = \frac{1}{12n} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{MSE} = V(\bar{Y}) + B^2 = \frac{1}{12n} + \frac{1}{4}.$$

8.9 a. For a binomial random variable  $Y$ ,  $E(Y) = np$  and  $E(Y^2) = V(Y) + n^2p^2$

$= npq + n^2p^2$ . Hence

$$E\left\{n\left(\frac{Y}{n}\right)\left[1 - \left(\frac{Y}{n}\right)\right]\right\} = E(Y) - \frac{1}{n}E(Y^2) = np - pq - np^2 = np(1-p) - pq \\ = (n-1)pq$$

b. An unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  has expected value  $npq$ . Hence we can use

$$\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)n \times \frac{Y}{n}\left(1 - \frac{Y}{n}\right) = \frac{n^2}{n-1}\left(\frac{Y}{n}\right)\left(1 - \frac{Y}{n}\right)$$

8.10 The following information is required to answer the question.

$$E(Y) = \int_0^\theta \left[\frac{\alpha y^\alpha}{\theta^\alpha}\right] dy = \left[\frac{\alpha y^{\alpha+1}}{(\alpha+1)\theta^\alpha}\right]_0^\theta = \frac{\alpha\theta}{\alpha+1} \\ E(Y^2) = \int_0^\theta \left[\frac{\alpha y^{\alpha+1}}{\theta^\alpha}\right] dy = \left[\frac{\alpha y^{\alpha+2}}{(\alpha+2)\theta^\alpha}\right]_0^\theta = \frac{\alpha\theta^2}{\alpha+2} \\ f(y) = \frac{\alpha y^{\alpha-1}}{\theta^\alpha} \\ F(y) = \int_0^y \frac{\alpha t^{\alpha-1}}{\theta^\alpha} dt = \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^\alpha \\ F_{Y(n)}(y) = \left(\frac{y}{\theta}\right)^{n\alpha}, 0 \leq y \leq \theta \\ f_{Y(n)}(y) = \frac{n\alpha y^{n\alpha-1}}{\theta^{n\alpha}}, 0 \leq y \leq \theta$$

So that  $Y_{(n)}$  is also distributed as the power family with parameters  $n\alpha$  and  $\theta$ .

a.  $E(Y_{(n)}) = \frac{n\alpha\theta}{n\alpha+1} \neq \theta$ .

b.  $\left(\frac{n\alpha+1}{n\alpha}\right)Y_{(n)}$  would be unbiased.

c.  $\text{MSE}(Y_{(n)}) = E[(Y_{(n)} - \theta)^2] = E(Y_{(n)}^2) - 2\theta E(Y_{(n)}) + \theta^2 \\ = \frac{n\alpha\theta^2}{n\alpha+2} - 2\theta\left(\frac{n\alpha\theta}{n\alpha+1}\right) + \theta^2 \\ = \frac{2\theta^2}{(n\alpha+1)(n\alpha+2)}.$

8.13 Note that

$$E(\hat{p}_1) = E\left(\frac{Y}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)(np) = p \\ E(\hat{p}_2) = E\left(\frac{Y+1}{n+2}\right) = \frac{1}{(n+2)}(np+1) = \frac{np+1}{n+2}$$

a.  $\text{Bias} = \frac{np+1}{n+2} - p = \frac{np+1-np-2p}{n+2} = \frac{1-2p}{n+2}.$

b.  $\text{MSE}(\hat{p}_1) = V(\hat{p}_1) + B^2 = V\left(\frac{Y}{n}\right) + 0 = \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)np(1-p) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{p}_2) = V(\hat{p}_2) + B^2 = V\left(\frac{Y+1}{n+2}\right) + \left(\frac{1-2p}{n+2}\right)^2 \\ = \left[\frac{1}{(n+2)^2}\right]V(Y+1) + \frac{(1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2} \\ = \frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2}.$$

Solution for 8.13 (c).

3

To get  $MSE(\hat{P}_1) < MSE(\hat{P}_2)$ , we need.

$$\frac{p - p^2}{n} < \frac{np(1-p) + (1-2p)^2}{(n+2)^2}$$

that is

$$\frac{p - p^2}{n} < \frac{(4-n)p^2 + (n-4)p + 1}{n^2 + 4n + 4}$$

It can be rewritten as:

$$(n^2 + 4n + 4)(p - p^2) < n[(4-n)p^2 + (n-4)p + 1]$$

which can be simplified to

$$(8n+4)p^2 - (8n+4)p + n > 0$$

By the quadratic formula, the roots for

$$(8n+4)p^2 - (8n+4)p + n = 0$$

are

$$p = \frac{8n+4 \pm \sqrt{(8n+4)^2 - 4n(8n+4)}}{2(8n+4)} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{8n+4}}$$

So for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{8n+4}}$  or  $\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{8n+4}} < p < 1$

we have

$$MSE(\hat{P}_1) < MSE(\hat{P}_2)$$

That is, for  $p$  close to 0 or 1, we will get  $MSE(\hat{P}_1) < MSE(\hat{P}_2)$ .

(4)

- 8.18 The point estimate of  $\mu$  is  $\bar{y} = 7.2\%$ , and the bound on the error of estimation is  $2\sigma_{\bar{y}}$ . With  $n = 200$  and  $s = 5.6\%$ , we have

$$2\sigma_{\bar{y}} = 2 \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \approx 2 \frac{5.6}{\sqrt{200}} = \frac{2(5.6)}{\sqrt{200}} = .79$$

- 8.19 a. The point estimate of  $\mu$  is  $\bar{y} = 11.3$ , and the bound on the error of estimation is  $2\sigma_{\bar{y}}$ . With  $n = 467$  and  $s = 16.6$ , this bound is

$$2\sigma_{\bar{y}} = 2 \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = 2 \frac{16.6}{\sqrt{467}} = \frac{2(16.6)}{\sqrt{467}} = 1.54$$

- b. The point estimate of  $\mu_R - \mu_C$  is  $\bar{y}_R - \bar{y}_C = 46.4 - 45.1 = 1.3$ . The bound on the error of estimation is

$$2\sqrt{\frac{s_R^2}{n_R} + \frac{s_C^2}{n_C}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{9.8^2}{191} + \frac{10.2^2}{467}} = 1.7$$

- c. The point estimate of  $p_C - p_R$  is  $\hat{p}_C - \hat{p}_R = .78 - .61 = .17$ . The bound on the error of estimation is

$$2\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_C\hat{q}_C}{n_C} + \frac{\hat{p}_R\hat{q}_R}{n_R}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{(.78)(.23)}{467} + \frac{(.61)(.39)}{191}} = .08$$

- 8.23 a. The bound is  $2\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{(.67)(.33)}{308,007}} = .0017$ .

- b. The bound is  $2\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{(.71)(.29)}{308,007}} = .0016$ .

- c. No,  $\pm 2$  percentage points is too large for the margin of error. The bound on the margin of error is closer to  $\pm .2$  percentage points.

- 8.25 a. Let  $p_1$  = proportion of Americans who ate the recommended amount of fibrous foods in 1983 and  $p_2$  = proportion of Americans who ate the recommended amount of fibrous foods in 1992. Then  $n_1 = 1250$ ,  $n_2 = 1251$ ,  $\hat{p}_1 = .59$ , and  $\hat{p}_2 = .53$ . The point estimator for the difference in proportions is

$$\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 = .59 - .53 = .06.$$

The bound on the error of estimation is

$$2\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{(.59)(.41)}{1250} + \frac{(.53)(.47)}{1251}} = .04$$

- b. Since  $.06 - .04 > 0$ , we can conclude that there has been a demonstrable decrease in the proportion of Americans who eat the recommended amount of fibrous foods.

(5)

- 8.28 The point estimate of the total accounts receivable is  $500\bar{y} = 500(197.1) = 98,550$ . To find a bound on the error of estimation, we need to find  $s^2$ :

$$s^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - n(\bar{y})^2}{n-1} = \frac{933,814 - 20(197.1)^2}{19} = 8255.04$$

The variance of  $500(\bar{y})$  is  $500^2 \sigma_{\bar{y}}^2 = \frac{500^2 \sigma^2}{20}$ , which we estimate as  $\frac{500^2 s^2}{20}$ . A bound on the error of estimation is

$$2\sqrt{\frac{500^2 s^2}{20}} = 20,316.3$$

The point estimate of the average accounts receivable,  $\mu$ , is point  $\bar{y} = 197.1$ . A bound on the error of estimation is

$$2\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

which may be estimated by

$$2\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \frac{2(90.857)}{\sqrt{20}} = 40.63.$$

The value 250 is beyond the point estimate plus the bound on the error of estimation. Thus, it is unlikely that the average account receivable exceeds \$250.

- 8.29 The point estimate is  $\hat{p} = .3$ . A bound on the error of estimation is

$$2\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}\hat{q}}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{(.3)(.7)}{20}} = .205$$

It is fairly likely that the proportion in compliance exceeds .80 since the value .20 is well within the margin of error from the estimation of the proportion not in compliance.