

Numerical solution of ODEs: multistage and predictor–corrector methods

Continuing with the idea of approximating the integral on the right-hand side of

$$u_{n+1} \approx u_n + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(t, u(t)) dt,$$

we can derive some further methods for computing approximate solution values $u_n \approx u(t_n)$ of

$$\frac{du}{dt} = f(t, u)$$

at a sequence of t -values $t_0, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N$, given that $u(t_0) = u_0$.

Midpoint method

If we approximate the integral $\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(t, u(t)) dt$ by the *midpoint* rule, we get

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}\right)\right)$$

Unfortunately, the $u(t_n + \frac{h}{2})$ on the right-hand side is unknown, and since it does not also appear on the left-hand side, we cannot obtain either $u(t_n + \frac{h}{2})$ or u_{n+1} by solving an equation as in the backward Euler or Crank–Nicolson cases.

To get around this problem, we *approximate* $u(t_n + \frac{h}{2})$ with Euler’s method, taking just a “half step” $\frac{h}{2}$ from t_n :

$$u\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}\right) \approx u_n + \frac{h}{2} f(t_n, u_n)$$

Substituting this approximation into the previous formula gives the midpoint method, also called the “modified Euler” method:

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} f(t_n, u_n)\right)$$

Note that this is an explicit method. It is also a so-called “two-stage” method because the algorithm involves computing two “slopes”, with the first being fed into the second one:

$$s_1 = f(t_n, u_n) \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 = f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} s_1\right).$$

Improved Euler (Heun) method

The Crank–Nicolson (trapezoidal) method

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{2} \left[f(t_n, u_n) + f(t_n + h, u_{n+1}) \right]$$

is implicit because the unknown u_{n+1} appears on both sides of the formula, but we can convert it to an explicit method if we replace the u_{n+1} in the right-hand side by its approximation obtained from Euler’s method, namely $u_n + hf(t_n, u_n)$. The formula then becomes

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{2} \left[f(t_n, u_n) + f\left(t_n + h, u_n + hf(t_n, u_n)\right) \right],$$

which is known as the “improved Euler” or Heun’s method. This method again has two stages:

$$s_1 = f(t_n, u_n)$$

$$s_2 = f\left(t_n + h, u_n + h s_1\right)$$

$$\text{and then} \quad u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{2} [s_1 + s_2]$$

Heun's method is the simplest example of a **predictor–corrector method**, where an approximation generated by an *explicit* method (Euler's in this case), called the “predictor”, replaces the unknown u_{n+1} in the right-hand side of an *implicit* formula (Crank–Nicolson method in this case), called the “corrector”. The formula that results from such a substitution is explicit.

Four-stage Runge–Kutta method

Write $F(t) = f(t, u(t))$. Approximating the integral $\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} F(t) dt$ by *Simpson's* rule gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} F(t) dt &\approx \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{(t_{n+1} - t_n)}{2} \left[F(t_n) + 4 F\left(\frac{t_n + t_{n+1}}{2}\right) + F(t_{n+1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{h}{6} \left[F(t_n) + 4 F\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}\right) + F(t_n + h) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Then, approximate $F(t_n) = f(t_n, u(t_n))$ by $f(t_n, u_n) \equiv s_1$.

Split the middle term $4 F(t_n + \frac{h}{2})$ into $2 F(t_n + \frac{h}{2}) + 2 F(t_n + \frac{h}{2})$;

approximate the first two $F(t_n + \frac{h}{2}) = f(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u(t_n + \frac{h}{2}))$ by $f(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} s_1) \equiv s_2$;

approximate the second two $F(t_n + \frac{h}{2}) = f(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u(t_n + \frac{h}{2}))$ by $f(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, u_n + \frac{h}{2} s_2) \equiv s_3$.

Finally, approximate $F(t_n + h) = f(t_n + h, u(t_n + h))$ by $f(t_n + h, u_n + h s_3) \equiv s_4$.

The formula for the method is then

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + \frac{h}{6} [s_1 + 2s_2 + 2s_3 + s_4]$$

General Runge–Kutta methods

A general Runge–Kutta method with k stages is characterized by a set of parameters α_i , β_{ij} and γ_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The i th stage computes a slope s_i by evaluating f at a value of t in $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ and a value of u obtained by adding to u_n a linear combination of the previously computed slopes:

$$s_i = f\left(t_n + \alpha_i h, u_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{ij} s_j\right)$$

The next approximate solution value u_{n+1} is also computed by adding to u_n a linear combination of slopes:

$$u_{n+1} = u_n + h \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i s_i$$

Euler's method uses just one slope and overestimates or underestimates the true solution (depending on whether the solution curve is concave down or up); we can think of Runge–Kutta methods as attempts to improve upon Euler's method by calculating several “adjusted” slopes and then taking a weighted average of them.

The parameters defining a Runge–Kutta method are often presented in a “Butcher tableau”

α_1			
α_2	β_{21}		
α_3	β_{31}	β_{32}	
$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\ddots$
α_k	β_{k1}	$\cdots$	$\beta_{k,k-1}$
	γ_1	$\cdots$	γ_k

For example, the 4-stage method above is

0				
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$		
1	0	0	1	
	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

and there are 3-stage methods given by

0			
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$		
1	−1	2	
	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

0		
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	
$\frac{3}{4}$	0	$\frac{3}{4}$
	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{1}{3}$

The midpoint and Heun methods are both 2-stage Runge–Kutta methods.