

## **Pathologies IV**

## David Mumford

American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 97, No. 3 (Autumn, 1975), 847-849.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9327%28197523%2997%3A3%3C847%3API%3E2.0.CO%3B2-Z

American Journal of Mathematics is currently published by The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/jhup.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## PATHOLOGIES IV.

By DAVID MUMFORD.

In this note I would like to use the beautifully simple method introduced by Tony Iarrobino [1]—when he proved that there are 0-dimensional subschemes of  $\mathbf{P}^3$  which are not specializations of reduced subschemes—to prove here that there are also reduced and irreducible complete curves which are not specializations of non-singular curves. Since there are no global obstructions in deforming reduced curves, this also shows that there are complete reduced 1-dimensional local rings with no flat deformation which is generically smooth.

Start with a complete non-singular curve C of genus g with no automorphisms over an algebraically closed ground field k. Choose a point  $x \in C$  and a large even integer  $\nu$ . Note that if V is any k-vector space where

$$m_{x,C}^{2\nu} \subset V \subset m_{x,C}^{\nu}$$

then k+V is a subring of  $\mathcal{O}_{x,C}$ . For each such V, define a new curve:

$$\pi: C \rightarrow C(V)$$

by:

(a)  $\pi$  is a bijection, and an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{res} \pi : C - \{x\} \xrightarrow{\approx} C(V) - \{\pi x\}.$$

(b) 
$$\mathcal{O}_{\pi x, C(V)} = k + V$$
.

Note that if  $V_1, V_2$  are two such vector spaces, then

$$C(V_1) \approx C(V_2) \Rightarrow V_1 = V_2.$$

(In fact, C is the normalization of each C(V); hence any  $o:C(V_1) \xrightarrow{\approx} C(V_2)$  lifts to  $o':C \to C$  which must be the identity, hence  $k+V_1= \emptyset_{\pi(x),C(V_1)}= \emptyset_{\pi(x),C(V_2)}=k+V_2$ .) Moreover, the curves C(V) can all be fitted together into

Manuscript received December 14, 1973.

a family if we fix the integer  $\dim_k V/m_{r,C}^{2\nu}$ : for all  $k, 0 \le k \le \nu$ ,

let G = Grassmanian of k-dimensional subspaces of  $m_{x,\,C}^{\nu}/m_{x,\,C}^{2\nu}$ ,

let  $C(\mathcal{V})$  be the scheme equal to  $C \times G$  as topological space, with structure sheaf defined by:

Since  $[(\mathcal{O}_{x,C}/m_{x,C}^{2\nu})\otimes_k\mathcal{O}_G]/\mathcal{V}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_G$ -sheaf,  $\mathcal{O}_{C(\mathcal{V})}$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}_G$ , i.e.,  $C(\mathcal{V})$  is flat over G.

Now choose  $k = \nu/2$  and calculate:

- (i)  $\dim G = k(\nu k) = \nu^2/4$
- (ii)  $p_a(C(V)) = g + \dim_k \left[ \left. \mathcal{O}_{x,C} \middle/ \mathcal{O}_{\pi(x),C(V)} \right] \right.$

$$= g + \left(\frac{3\nu}{2} - 1\right)$$

Therefore if  $\nu \gg 0$ , dim  $G \geqslant 3p_a(C(V)) - 3!$  I claim that this implies that almost all the curves C(V) are not specializations of non-singular curves, because of:

Lemma. Let  $p: \mathcal{C} \to S$  be a flat and proper family of reduced and irreducible singular curves  $C_s = p^{-1}(s)$  such that

- (a)  $\forall s \in S, \{s' | C_{s'} \approx C_s\}$  is finite
- (b)  $p_a(C_s) \ge 2$ , S is irreducible and dim  $S \ge 3p_a(C_s) 3$ ,

then almost all curves  $C_s$  are not specializations of non-singular curves.

*Proof.* If the conclusion is false, then after replacing S by a Zariski open subset we can extend the family  $\mathcal{C}/S$  like this:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & ; & S^* \text{ irreducible,} \\ S & \longrightarrow & S^* & \dim S^* = \dim S + 1 \end{array}$$

so that  $\mathcal{C}^*$  is generically smooth over  $S^*$ . In fact  $\mathcal{C}$  will carry a relatively ample L, so we may use  $p_*L^{\otimes n}$   $(n\gg 0)$  to embed  $\mathcal{C}$  in some  $\mathbf{P}^N$ -bundle  $\mathcal{P}$  over S. Moreover, if a  $C_S$   $(s\in S_0)$  is abstractly a specialization of a non-singular curve, so is the embedded curve  $C_S\subset \mathbf{P}^N$ . So take  $S^*$  to be a suitable subvariety of the Hilbert scheme of  $\mathcal{P}$  over S. Once we have  $\mathcal{C}^*/S^*$ , consider the two induced families:

$$\mathcal{C}_i^* = \mathcal{C} * \times_{S*} (S^* \times S^*) \qquad \text{(formed via } p_i : S^* \times S^* \rightarrow S^*, i = 1, 2)$$

and the scheme

$$I = \mathbf{Isom}_{S^* \times S^*} (\mathcal{C}_1^*, \mathcal{C}_2^*)$$

whose points over  $(s_1, s_2) \in S^* \times S^*$  are isomorphisms  $o: C_{s_1} \to C_{s_2}$ . Look at the morphisms:

$$q \qquad \downarrow \\ S^* \qquad \qquad \delta \qquad \qquad q(o:C_{s_1} \rightarrow C_{s_2}) = s_1 \\ \delta(s) = [\mathrm{id.}:C_s \rightarrow C_s]$$

Since  $\dim S^* = \dim S + 1 > 3p_a(C_s) - 3$ , whenever  $C_s$  is non-singular, the same non-singular curve must occur in the family  $\mathcal{C}^*/S^*$  infinitely often; thus when  $C_s$  is non-singular, some component of  $q^{-1}(s)$  through  $\delta(s)$  is positive-dimensional. Now by upper semi-continuity of dimensions of fibres of a morphism, it follows that for every s,  $q^{-1}(s)$  has a positive-dimensional component through  $\delta(s)$ . Now let  $D_1 = \operatorname{Im}(S \to S^*)$ ,  $D_2 = \{s \mid C_s \text{ is singular}\}$ ; then  $\overline{D}_1$  is a component of  $D_2$  and let  $D_1^0 = D_1$ -(closure of  $D_2 - D_1$ ). Choose  $s \in D_1^0$  and consider how  $q^{-1}(s)$  can have a positive-dimensional component  $\gamma$  through  $\delta(s)$ . By (b),  $\operatorname{Aut}(C_s)$  is finite; by (a), there are only finitely many  $s' \in D_1$  with  $C_s \approx C_{s'}$ ; certainly  $C_s \approx C_{s'}$  if  $s' \in S^* - D_2$  because  $C_s$  is singular while  $C_{s'}$  is non-singular; and since  $s \not\in (\operatorname{closure} D_2 - D_1)$ ,  $\gamma$  cannot lie over  $(s) \times \overline{D_2 - D_1}$  in  $S^* \times S^*$ . Thus there is nowhere for  $\gamma$  to go! Contradiction.

## REFERENCES.

A. Iarrobino, "Reducibility of the families of 0-dimensional schemes on a variety," Inv. Math. 15 (1972), pp. 72–77.