

PDE, HW 5 solutions

1. Define the logarithm in \mathbb{C} with a branch cut along the negative real axis. In polar coordinates, we then have

$$u = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z}{\log z} \right) = \frac{r(\cos \theta \log r + \theta \sin \theta)}{(\log r)^2 + \theta^2}.$$

The boundary $\partial\Omega = \{u = 0\}$ is given parametrically by,

$$x(\theta) = r(\theta) \cos \theta, \quad y(\theta) = r(\theta) \sin \theta, \quad r(\theta) = e^{-\theta \tan(\theta)}, \quad \theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2).$$

As $\theta \rightarrow \pm\pi/2$, $r(\theta) \rightarrow 0$, thus $\partial\Omega$ is a closed curve defined on $[-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. Observe also that the tangent vector is continuous at $\pm\pi/2$ since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dy} &= \frac{dx/d\theta}{dy/d\theta} = \frac{\cos \theta \frac{dr}{d\theta} - r \sin \theta}{\sin \theta \frac{dr}{d\theta} + r \cos \theta} \\ &= \frac{-2 \tan \theta - \theta \sec^2 \theta}{-\tan^2 \theta - \theta \tan \theta \sec^2 \theta + 1} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \theta \rightarrow \pm \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since u is harmonic in the interior, we have

$$u(x, 0) = \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad \text{and} \quad u_x(0, 0) = 0.$$

□

2. Let us denote the linear operator by

$$L = \Delta + b \frac{x_i x_j}{|x|^2} D_{ij} u, \quad b = -1 + \frac{n-1}{1-\lambda}.$$

First check that L is uniformly elliptic. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\xi^t A(x) \xi = |\xi|^2 + b \frac{x \cdot \xi}{|x|^2} \geq |\xi|^2,$$

since

$$b = -1 + \frac{n-1}{1-\lambda} = \frac{n+\lambda}{1-\lambda} \geq 0,$$

provided $\lambda < 1$ as assumed. This may also be written as the condition $2(2-\lambda) > 2$. Now verify that u_2 is a solution. Differentiate to find

$$D_{ij} u_2 = \lambda(\lambda-2)|x|^{\lambda-4} x_i x_j + \lambda|x|^{\lambda-2} \delta_{ij}, \quad \Delta u = \lambda(\lambda-2+n)|x|^{\lambda-2},$$

and substitute to see that $Lu_2 = 0$. In order that $u_2 \in W^{2,2}$ we require (see HW 4, #3) $\lambda-2 > -n/2$ or $n > 2(2-\lambda)$.

This does not contradict the uniqueness theorem, because the equation $Lu = 0$ is *not* in divergence form with L^∞ coefficients. □

6, *Evans, 1 ed., p. 346*. A warning: Evan's definition of L is really $-L$ in Gilbarg and Trudinger's definition.

Some smoothness assumption on $\partial\Omega$ is necessary to make sense of terms such as $\partial u/\partial\nu$. It will suffice to assume $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 (C^2 would imply an interior ball condition, which is too strong). The main observation is that a barrier yields both a sub and supersolution. Let $M = \|f\|_\infty$ and consider $v_\pm = u \pm Mw$. We then have $Lv_+ \geq 0$ in Ω , and $v_+ \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Similarly $Lv_- \leq 0$, and $v_- \leq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. By the weak maximum and minimum principles, this implies $v_+ \geq 0$, and $v_- \leq 0$ in Ω . Since the maximum and minimum are attained at x^0 , we also have the inequalities

$$\frac{\partial v_+}{\partial\nu} \leq 0, \quad \frac{\partial v_-}{\partial\nu} \geq 0, \quad \text{or} \quad M \frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu} \leq \frac{\partial u}{\partial\nu} \leq -M \frac{\partial w}{\partial\nu}.$$

We may also apply the weak minimum principle to w to obtain $w \geq 0$ in Ω and $\partial w/\partial\nu \leq 0$. Finally, since $\partial\Omega$ is C^1 and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ we have $|Du| = |\partial u/\partial\nu|$. \square

7, *Evans, 1ed, p. 346*. This is routine. A proof may be found in the notes on Laplace equation from last semester. \square

4. Here is the proof of (c), which is the most interesting. Without loss of generality, suppose $|\Omega| = 1$ and $\int_\Omega |u|^{p_0} dx < \infty$, some $p_0 > 0$. The function

$$p \mapsto \int_\Omega |u|^p dx = \int_\Omega e^{p \log |u|}$$

is then an analytic function of p on $(-\infty, p_0)$ (the convention is $e^{-\infty} = 0$). It is only necessary to justify the first derivative but this follows by taking finite differences and the dominated convergence theorem. We have

$$\frac{d \int_\Omega |u|^p dx}{dp} = \frac{1}{\int_\Omega |u|^p dx} \int_\Omega |u|^p \log |u| dx.$$

We now consider $\Phi_p(u)$ as a function of p defined on the interval $(-\infty, p_0]$. We write

$$\Phi_p(u) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \log \int_\Omega |u|^p dx\right),$$

and observe that the limit $p \rightarrow 0$ is the same as evaluating the derivative at $p = 0$. \square

5. Fix $r > 0$. The oscillation $\omega(r) = \max_{\theta_1, \theta_2} u(r, \theta_1) - u(r, \theta_2)$. As always the basic inequality uses the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$|u(r, \theta_1) - u(r, \theta_2)| \leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right| d\theta.$$

Since the maximum distance between two points on the circle is π , we have

$$\omega(r) \leq \sup_{\theta_1} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_1 + \pi} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right| d\theta \leq \sqrt{\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 d\theta \right)^{1/2}.$$

This inequality holds for every $0 < r < R$ and may be integrated to yield

$$\int_r^R \frac{\omega(r')^2}{r'} dr' \leq \pi \int_r^R \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{r'} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 d\theta dr' \leq \pi \int_{B_R} |Du|^2 dx := \pi D(R).$$

If we further assume that $\omega(r)$ is non-decreasing, the left-hand side is no greater than $\omega(r)^2 \log(R/r)$ and we obtain the desired inequality.

(b) Let $a(r) = \min_{\theta} u(r, \theta)$, $b(r) = \max_{\theta} u(r, \theta)$. By the maximum principle $b(r)$ is non-decreasing, and $a(r)$ is non-increasing so that $\omega(r) = b(r) - a(r)$ is non-decreasing. Suppose $M(R) := \int_{B_R} |D \log u|^2 dx < \infty$ (redefine R as $R/2$ if necessary). Then

$$M(R) = \int_{B_R} \frac{|Du|^2}{u^2} dx \geq \frac{D(R)}{b(R)^2} \geq \frac{\omega(r) \log(R/r)}{\pi b(R)},$$

for any $0 < r < R$ by part(a). Since $\omega(r) = b(r) - a(r)$ we obtain after simplifying and using $0 < a(R) < a(r)$

$$\frac{b(r)}{a(r)} \leq 1 + \frac{\pi M(R)}{\log(R/r)} \frac{b(R)}{a(R)}, \quad 0 < r < R$$

which implies the Harnack inequality. The bound on $M(R)$ is obtained by Moser's method, and I refer to equation (8.53) in Gilbarg and Trudinger. \square