A new error bound for Reduced Basis approximation of parabolic partial differential equations

Karsten Urban

University of Ulm, Institute for Numerical Mathematics, Helmholtzstr. 18, 89081 Ulm (Germany), karsten.urban@uni-ulm.de

Anthony T. Patera

Mechanical Engineering Department, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139-4307 (USA), patera@mit.edu

---

Abstract

We consider a space-time variational formulation for linear parabolic partial differential equations. We introduce an associated Petrov-Galerkin truth finite element discretization with favorable discrete inf-sup constant β_δ: β_δ is bounded from below by unity for the heat equation; β_δ grows only linearly in time for non-coercive (asymptotically stable) convection operators. The latter in turn permits effective long-time *a posteriori* error bounds for reduced basis approximations, in sharp contrast to classical exponentially growing energy estimates.

Résumé

Nous considérons une formulation variationnelle espace-temps pour les équations différentielles paraboliques linéaires. Nous y associons une discrétisation par éléments finis de Petrov-Galerkin pour laquelle la constante de stabilité inf-sup β_δ possède des propriétés agréables : β_δ est bornée inférieurement par la constante unité pour l'équation de la chaleur; β_δ a une croissance seulement linéaire en temps pour des opérateurs de convection non-coercifs (asymptotiquement stables). Dans le cadre des approximations par bases réduites, cette dernière propriété permet d'obtenir des bornes efficaces pour l'erreur *a posteriori* en temps long, en net contraste avec les estimateurs d'erreur en énergie classiques qui présentent une croissance exponentielle.

Keywords: parabolic equations, space-time formulation, inf-sup stability, reduced basis, a posteriori estimation

2000 MSC: 35K15, 65M15, 65M60

Version française abrégée

Soit l'équation aux dérivées partielles parabolique (1) et sa formulation variationnelle espace-temps : $u \in \mathcal{X} := L_2(I;V) \cap H^1(I;V')$ vérifie (2) pour l'espace des fonctions test $\mathcal{Y} := L_2(I;V)$; ici $b(w,v) := \int_I [\langle \dot{w}(t), v(t)\rangle_H + a(w(t), v(t))]\, dt$ et $f(v) := \int_I \langle g(t), v(t)\rangle_H dt$. Les normes sur $\mathcal{X}$ et $\mathcal{Y}$ sont définies par $\|w\|^2_{\mathcal{X}} := \|w\|^2_{L_2(I;V)} + \|\dot{w}\|^2_{L_2(I;V')}$ et $\|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}} := \|v\|_{L_2(I;V)}$. On considère $V = H^1_0(\Omega)$ (opérateurs spatiaux de 2e ordre), où Ω est le domaine spatial. On montre (Proposition 1.1 basée sur [4]) que pour des problèmes coercifs, la constante inf-sup β de b est positive et bornée inférieurement.

Nous introduisons ensuite une discrétisation par éléments finis de Petrov-Galerkin : soit $u_\delta \in \mathcal{X}_\delta$ vérifiant $b(u_\delta, v_\delta) = f(v_\delta), \forall v_\delta \in \mathcal{Y}_\delta$; avec $\mathcal{X}_\delta := S_{\Delta t} \otimes V_h$ et $\mathcal{Y}_\delta = Q_{\Delta t} \otimes V_h$, $\delta = (\Delta t, h)$, où $S_{\Delta t}, V_h$ et $Q_{\Delta t}$ sont respectivement des éléments finis linéaire par morceaux en temps (de pas Δt) et en espace (de diamètre h), et constants en temps. Cette méthode coïncide avec une discrétisation de Crank-Nicolson en temps, ainsi nos résultats s'appliquent directement à ce schéma standard. Nous définissons une norme modifiée (équivalente) sur $\mathcal{X}, ||| \cdot |||_{\mathcal{X}}$ où la partie $\int_I \|w\|^2_V dt$ de $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ est remplacée par une somme des moyennes de w sur chaque élément temporel. Nous montrons (Proposition 2.1) que pour l'équation de la chaleur, la constante inf-sup discrète β_δ est bornée inférieurement par la constante unité.

Nous considérons maintenant une approximation par bases réduites u_N, comme dans [1]. Nous pouvons construire à la Proposition 3.1 des bornes sur l'erreur *a posteriori* pour le champ solution u_N et pour la sortie scalaire s_N. L'utilité de ces bornes est très liée à la dépendance de β_δ aux paramètres du problème et au temps final T. La procédure de calcul de ces bornes est similaire à la décomposition hors ligne-en ligne des bases réduites standard.

Enfin, nous présentons des résultats numériques pour la constante inf-sup discrète β_δ. Pour des opérateurs *non-coercifs* mais asymptotiquement stables (e.g. convection), on observe que le paramètre inf-sup croît seulement en $\mu_1 T$, où μ_1 est la vitesse de déplacement et T le temps final. L'exemple est un domaine spatial unidimensionnel $(0,1)$ avec l'opérateur $\mu_1(x - 1/2)u_x - u_{xx}$ pour $\mu_1 > 2\pi^2$ ($\mu_1 < 2\pi^2$ entraîne un problème coercif). Ces estimateurs sont beaucoup plus précis que ceux classiques en énergie et prédisant une croissance exponentielle en $e^{\mu_1 T}$, inutilisables en pratique.

1. Space-time formulation

We first formulate a general linear parabolic equation. Consider Hilbert spaces $V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V'$ and an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(V, V')$, $\langle Av, w\rangle_H = a(v, w)$ for $v, w \in V$. Setting $I := [0, T]$, $T > 0$ and given $g \in L_2(I; V')$, we look for u such that

$$\dot{u}(t) + A\,u(t) = g(t) \text{ in } V',\ t \in I; \qquad u(0) = 0, \tag{1}$$

and an associated output of the form $s := \int_I \ell(u(t))\,dt$ for some $\ell \in V'$. We restrict ourselves to LTI systems even though some of our results can be extended to the more general situation.

For the derivation of the space-time variational form of (1), we introduce the trial space $\mathcal{X} := L_2(I; V) \cap H^1(I; V')$ with norm $\|w\|^2_{\mathcal{X}} := \|w\|^2_{L_2(I;V)} + \|\dot{w}\|^2_{L_2(I;V')}$ and the test space $\mathcal{Y} := L_2(I; V)$ with norm $\|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}} := \|v\|_{L_2(I;V)}$. Note that $\mathcal{X} = (L_2(I) \otimes V) \cap (H^1(I) \otimes V')$ and $\mathcal{Y} = L_2(I) \otimes V$ which will allow for a tensor product discretization. Then definitions $b(w, v) := \int_I [\langle \dot{w}(t), v(t)\rangle_H + a(w(t), v(t))]\,dt$ and $f(v) := \int_I \langle g(t), v(t)\rangle_H dt$ yield the space-time variational formulation

$$u \in \mathcal{X}: \qquad b(u, v) = f(v), \qquad \forall v \in \mathcal{Y}. \tag{2}$$

The well-posedness of (2) has been shown (under suitable assumptions) in [4, Theorem 5.1].

The approach of [4] can also yield an estimate for the inf-sup constant $\beta := \inf_{w\in\mathcal{X}} \sup_{v\in\mathcal{Y}} \frac{b(w,v)}{\|w\|_{\mathcal{X}}\,\|v\|_{\mathcal{Y}}}$. We define $\varrho := \sup_{0\neq\phi\in V} \frac{\|\phi\|_H}{\|\phi\|_V}$ and $\beta^*_a := \inf_{\phi\in V} \sup_{\psi\in V} \frac{a(\psi,\phi)}{\|\phi\|_V\,\|\psi\|_V}$; we then claim

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that there exist $M_a < \infty$, $\alpha > 0$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|a(\psi, \phi)| \le M_a\,\|\psi\|_V\,\|\phi\|_V$ (continuity) and $a(\phi, \phi) + \lambda\,\|\phi\|^2_H \ge \alpha\|\phi\|^2_V$ (Gårding) for all $v, w \in V$. Then, $\beta \ge \beta_{LB} := \frac{(\alpha-\lambda\varrho^2)\min\{1,M_a^{-2}\}}{\max\{1,(\beta^*_a)^{-1}\}\sqrt{2}}$.*

Remark 1.2. *Note that β_{LB} does not depend on the final time. However, the estimate is only meaningful if $\alpha \ge \lambda\varrho^2$, i.e., if the system is coercive. In the non-coercive case, (1) is often transformed via $\hat{u}(t) := e^{-\lambda t}u(t)$ to obtain a coercive problem; however, this yields an inf-sup bound that behaves as $e^{-\lambda T}$ — clearly unsuitable for long-time integration.*

2. Petrov-Galerkin Truth Approximation

Let $\mathcal{X}_\delta \subset \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}_\delta \subset \mathcal{Y}$ be finite dimensional subspaces and $u_\delta \in \mathcal{X}_\delta$ the discrete approximation of (2), i.e., $b(u_\delta, v_\delta) = f(v_\delta)\ \forall v_\delta \in \mathcal{Y}_\delta$, $s_\delta = \int_0^T \ell(u_\delta(t))\,dt$. Henceforth, we concentrate on the case $H = L_2(\Omega)$, $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$. Let $\mathcal{X}_\delta = S_{\Delta t} \otimes V_h$, $\mathcal{Y}_h = Q_{\Delta t} \otimes V_h$, $\delta = (\Delta t, h)$, where $S_{\Delta t}$, V_h are piecewise linear and $Q_{\Delta t}$ piecewise constant finite elements with respect to triangulations $\mathcal{T}_h^{\text{space}}$ in space and $\mathcal{T}_{\Delta t}^{\text{time}} \equiv \{t^{i-1} \equiv (i-1)\Delta t < t \le i\,\Delta t \equiv t^i, 1 \le i \le r\}$ in time for $\Delta t := T/r$. This coincides with the Crank–Nicolson (CN) scheme if a trapezoidal approximation of the right-hand side temporal integration is used; hence, we can derive error bounds for the CN scheme via our space-time formulation.

Towards that end we introduce a different norm on $\mathcal{X}$: For $w \in \mathcal{X}$ and $I^i := (t^{i-1}, t^i)$, set $\bar{w}^i := (\Delta t)^{-1} \int_{I^i} w(t)\,dt \in V$ and $\bar{w} := \sum_{i=1}^r \chi_{I^i} \otimes \bar{w}^i \in L_2(I;V)$, where χ_{I^i} is the characteristic function on I^i; then, set $|||w|||_{\mathcal{X}}^2 := \|\dot{w}\|_{L_2(I;V')}^2 + \|\bar{w}\|_{L_2(I;V)}^2$. It can be proven that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $|||\cdot|||_{\mathcal{X}}$ are equivalent. We may now demonstrate

Proposition 2.1. *Set $Au := -\Delta u$; then we have the inf-sup lower bound $\beta_\delta := \inf_{w_\delta \in \mathcal{X}_\delta} \sup_{v_\delta \in \mathcal{Y}_\delta} \frac{b(w_\delta, v_\delta)}{|||w_\delta|||_{\mathcal{X}}\, \|v_\delta\|_{\mathcal{Y}}} \ge 1$.*

Proof. (Sketch) Note that $\lambda = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, and $M_a = 1$ for our choice $\|w\|_V \equiv \|\nabla w\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$. Let $0 \ne w_\delta \in \mathcal{X}_\delta$. Set $z_\delta := A_h^{-1}\dot{w}_\delta$ (i.e., $a(z_\delta, \phi_h) = \langle \dot{w}_\delta, \phi_h\rangle_H$, $\forall \phi_h \in V_h$) and $v_\delta := z_\delta + \bar{w}_\delta$. Then, $b(w_\delta, v_\delta) \ge |||w_\delta|||_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \|w_\delta(T)\|_H^2 \ge |||w_\delta|||_{\mathcal{X}}\, \|v_\delta\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$ since $(z_\delta, \bar{w}_\delta)_{\mathcal{Y}} = \frac{1}{2}\|w_\delta(T)\|_H^2$ and $\|v_\delta\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2 = \int_I \|A_h^{-1}\dot{w}_\delta\|_V^2\,dt + \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^r \|\bar{w}_\delta^i\|_V^2 + \|w_\delta(T)\|_H^2 = |||w_\delta|||_{\mathcal{X}}^2 + \|w_\delta(T)\|_H^2$. □

3. The Reduced Basis Method (RBM)

Now, let $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{D} := \mathbb{R}^2$ be a parameter vector and $A = A(\mu) := -\Delta u + \mu_1\, \boldsymbol{\beta}(x) \cdot \nabla u + \mu_2\, u$, i.e., a diffusion-convection-reaction operator with convection field $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. We then introduce a standard Reduced Basis (RB) approximation for the Crank–Nicolson interpretation of our discrete problem, $u_N(\mu) \in \mathcal{X}_{\Delta t, N} = S_{\Delta t} \otimes V_N$; here V_N is an RB space provided for example by the POD-Greedy procedure of [1]. The RB output is then given by $s_N = \int_0^T \ell(u_N(t))dt$. (It is possible, alternatively, to consider a space–time RB approximation as well [5].) It is then simple [3] to demonstrate

Proposition 3.1. *The RB error satisfies* $|||u - u_N|||_{\mathcal{X}} \leq \|r_N\|_{\mathcal{Y}'}/\beta_\delta^{\mathrm{LB}}$*, where* $r_N(v) := f(v) - b(u_N, v), \forall v \in \mathcal{Y}$*, and* β_δ^{LB} *is a lower bound for* β_δ *defined in Proposition 2.1. Furthermore,* $|s_\delta - s_N| \leq \|\ell\|_{V'}\sqrt{T}\|r_N\|_{\mathcal{Y}'}/\beta_\delta^{LB}$.

The utility of these *a posteriori* error bounds is critically dependent on the dependence of β_δ as a function of the parameter μ and final time T, $\beta_\delta(\mu; T)$.

We briefly comment on the computational implications of this space-time error bound. As always, our model problem (as is the case here) must honor the "affine-in-functions-of-parameter" condition to permit effective offline–online computation of the reduced basis approximation and *a posteriori* error bounds. The current formulation introduces the further complication of the space–time norms. In fact, calculation of the dual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}'}$ (through the corresponding Riesz representation [3]) does not couple different time steps/elements, and hence the additional online difficulty is relatively minor. (The offline stage for computation of the inf-sup lower bound by the Successive Constraint Method [3, 6] does require special treatment, in particular due to the norm $|||\cdot|||_{\mathcal{X}}$.)

4. Numerical Results

We report numerical results for the Crank–Nicolson scheme for various choices of the parameters μ_1, μ_2 as well as for different time steps Δt and uniform mesh sizes h. For simplicity, we consider the univariate case (in space) $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and choose $\boldsymbol{\beta}(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$. Let us denote by $\beta_\delta(\mu; T)$ the numerical value for the truth inf-sup corresponding to parameter μ and final time T. We start by confirming the quality of the estimate in Proposition 2.1. Thus, we choose $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$; for several values of T, h and Δt we invariantly obtain 1.000, indicating that our estimate is in fact sharp.

Next, we investigate the case of convection, $\mu_2 = 0$, in which case a is coercive only for $\mu_1 < 2\pi^2$. We get $\beta_\delta((50, 0); 1.0) = 0.052$, $\beta_\delta((50, 0); 2.0) = 0.028$ and $\beta_\delta((100, 0); 1.0) = 0.020$, $\beta_\delta((100, 0); 2.0) = 0.010$. These numbers are mostly invariant for sufficiently small h and Δt. The overall behavior is $\beta_\delta((\mu_1, 0); T) \sim (\mu_1 T)^{-1}$. Note $T = \mathcal{O}(1)$ is effectively a "long time" in convective units, $1/\mu_1$. We emphasize that although the problem is non-coercive, the problem is asymptotically stable in the sense that all eigenvalues σ of $-a(\psi, \phi) = \sigma\langle\psi, \phi\rangle_H$ lie in the left-hand plane; this stability is reflected in the inf-sup behavior. In contrast, a standard energy approach [2] gives effective inf-sup constants on the order of $e^{\mu_1 T}$ (here about 10^{-10}). Hence,

the traditional method fails whereas our new bound reflects the true *time-coupled* properties of the system.

Finally, we consider the case $\mu_1 = 0$ which gives rise to an asymptotically unstable (and non-coercive) system for $\mu_2 < -\pi^2$. This means that any error estimate *must* grow exponentially with the final time T. We observe this for our estimator as well, e.g. $\beta_\delta((0, -20); 1.0) = 5.62 \cdot 10^{-5}$ (order of $e^{-\mu_2 T}$).

Acknowledgements. A.T.P. was supported by OSD/AFOSR/MURI Grant FA9550-09-1-0613 and ONR Grant N00014-11-1-0713; K.U. by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under Ur-63/9 and GrK1100. The authors are grateful to Sylvain Vallaghé for helpful comments. This paper was written while K.U. was Visiting Professor at MIT.

References

[1] B. Haasdonk and M. Ohlberger. Reduced basis method for finite volume approximations of parametrized linear evolution equations. M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **42** (2008), 277-302.

[2] D.J. Knezevic, N.C. Nguyen, and A.T. Patera. Reduced basis approximation and a posteriori error estimation for the parametrized unsteady Boussinesq equations. Math. Mod. Meth. Appl. Sci., **21** no. 7 (2011), 1415-1442.

[3] G. Rozza, D.B.P. Huynh, and A. T. Patera. Reduced basis approximation and a posteriori error estimation for affinely parametrized elliptic coercive partial differential equations — Application to transport and continuum mechanics. Arch. Comp. Meth. Eng., **15** no. 3 (2008), 229-275.

[4] C. Schwab and R. Stevenson. Space-time adaptive wavelet methods for parabolic evolution problems. Math. Comp. **78** (2009), 1293-1318.

[5] K. Steih and K. Urban. Space-time reduced basis methods for time-periodic parabolic problems. In preparation.

[6] S. Vallaghé, A. Le-Hyaric, M. Fouquemberg, and C. Prud'homme. A successive constraint method with minimal offline constraints for lower bounds of parametric coercivity constant. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, submitted 2011.